

A417 Missing Link
TR010056

6.7 Environmental Statement -
Updates and Errata

Planning Act 2008

APFP Regulation 5(2)(a)
Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and
Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 6

October 2021

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**The Infrastructure Planning
(Applications: Prescribed Forms
and Procedure) Regulations 2009**

A417 Missing Link

Development Consent Order 202[x]

Environmental Statement - Updates and Errata

Regulation Number:	5(2)(a)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010056
Application Document Reference	6.7
Author:	A417 Missing Link

Version	Date	Status of Version
C01	October 2021	Procedural Deadline A

Table of contents

	Pages
1 Introduction	1
2 Environmental Statement Updates	1
3 Environmental Statement Errata	9

Table of Tables

Table 2-1	Environmental statement chapter updates	2
Table 3-1	Environmental statement chapter errata	10

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This document (Document Reference 6.7) has been prepared to detail updates to and errata in the Environmental Statement (ES) (Document Reference 6.2, APP-032 to APP-049) for the A417 Missing Link (hereafter referred to as 'the scheme'), which was submitted as part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application in June 2021.
- 1.1.2 It is intended that during the Examination, further points of clarification or amendments which arise through (but not limited to) the Written Questions, Written Representations and the Issue Specific Hearings would be added to this document which would remain live throughout. It will be submitted, where appropriate, at each of the prescribed Deadlines as set out by the Planning Inspectorate.
- 1.1.3 A strikethrough has been used for text which is now removed from the appropriate chapter and section of ES chapters, whilst text in **red** is new and altered text.

2 Environmental Statement Updates

- 2.1.1 Table 2-1 Environmental statement chapter updates has been produced to detail any amendments, including updates, to the ES (Document Reference 6.2, APP-032 to APP-049) which have been identified through the Examination and provides updates and amendments as appropriate.

Table 2-1 Environmental statement chapter updates

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 1 Introduction (APP-032)	Paragraph 1.3.16 of National Planning Policy Framework to be updated in line with the revised National Planning Policy Framework published in July 2021.	Paragraph 1.3.16 of ES Chapter 1 - Introduction is amended to: “In addition, the NPPF originally published in March 2012 and most recently updated in June 2019 July 2021 , sets out the government’s planning policies for England and provides a framework within which locally prepared plans can be produced. The NPPF is ‘an important and relevant’ matter to be considered in decision making for NSIPs. The NPPF is supplemented by the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) web-based resource launched in February 2014. The PPG is updated by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government as necessary.”
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)	Paragraph 14.3.3 to be updated for the sixth carbon budget.	Paragraph 14.3.3 of ES Chapter14 is amended to: The Climate Change Act 2008 requires that five-yearly carbon budgets are set and not exceeded to ensure that regular progress is made towards the target. The first three carbon budgets were set in 2009, with the fourth and fifth following in 2011 and 2016 respectively, as outlined in Table 14-1. The UK Government agreed with the recommendation from the Climate Change Committee on the sixth carbon budget on Tuesday 20 April 2021. The stated intention is that this new target will be enshrined in UK law by the end of June 2021. The sixth carbon budget was legislated for in June 2021.
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)	Paragraph 14.3.4 to be updated for the Carbon Budget Order 2021.	Paragraph 14.3.4 of ES Chapter14 is amended to: The third, fourth and fifth carbon budgets, as set out in the Carbon Budgets Order 2009 , the Carbon Budget Order 2011 and the Carbon Budget Order 2016, are based on an 80% reduction as legislated by the Climate Change Act 2008. The recommended sixth carbon budget as set out in the Carbon Budget Order 2021, is based on the target for 100% reduction in emissions by 2050, it requires a 78% reduction in GHG emissions between 1990 and 2035. GHG emissions from the scheme are reported against the latest legislated carbon budgets, in line with the requirements of DMRB LA 114 and the NPSNN (Paragraph 5.17).

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES																	
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)</p>	<p>Table 14-1 of ES Chapter 14 Climate (Document Reference 6.2, APP- 045) to include the sixth carbon budget (2033 - 2037) and to show the reduction below 1990 levels.</p> <p>Table 14-1 UK third, fourth and fifth carbon budgets (as legislated by the Climate Change Act 2008 and set out in the Carbon Budgets Order 2009, the Carbon Budget Order 2011 and the Carbon Budget Order 2016)</p>	<p>Table 14-1 of ES Chapter 14 Climate (Document Reference 6.2, APP- 045) is amended to include the 6th carbon budget.</p> <p>Table 14-1 UK third, fourth, and fifth and sixth carbon budgets (as legislated by the Climate Change Act 2008 and set out in the Carbon Budget Order 2009, the Carbon Budget Order 2011, and the Carbon Budget Order 2016 and the Carbon Budget Order 2021)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="911 496 2054 798"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="911 496 1375 619">Carbon budget</th> <th data-bbox="1375 496 1789 619">Carbon budget level Million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents (MtCO₂e)</th> <th data-bbox="1789 496 2054 619">Reduction below 1990 levels</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="911 619 1375 663">Third carbon budget (2018 - 2022)</td> <td data-bbox="1375 619 1789 663">2,544 MtCO₂e</td> <td data-bbox="1789 619 2054 663">37% by 2023</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="911 663 1375 708">Fourth carbon budget (2023 - 2027)</td> <td data-bbox="1375 663 1789 708">1,950 MtCO₂e</td> <td data-bbox="1789 663 2054 708">51% by 2025</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="911 708 1375 753">Fifth carbon budget (2028 - 2032)</td> <td data-bbox="1375 708 1789 753">1,725 MtCO₂e</td> <td data-bbox="1789 708 2054 753">57% by 2030</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="911 753 1375 798">Sixth carbon budget (2033 - 2037)</td> <td data-bbox="1375 753 1789 798">965 MtCO₂e</td> <td data-bbox="1789 753 2054 798">78% by 2035</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Carbon budget	Carbon budget level Million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents (MtCO ₂ e)	Reduction below 1990 levels	Third carbon budget (2018 - 2022)	2,544 MtCO ₂ e	37% by 2023	Fourth carbon budget (2023 - 2027)	1,950 MtCO ₂ e	51% by 2025	Fifth carbon budget (2028 - 2032)	1,725 MtCO ₂ e	57% by 2030	Sixth carbon budget (2033 - 2037)	965 MtCO₂e	78% by 2035
Carbon budget	Carbon budget level Million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents (MtCO ₂ e)	Reduction below 1990 levels																	
Third carbon budget (2018 - 2022)	2,544 MtCO ₂ e	37% by 2023																	
Fourth carbon budget (2023 - 2027)	1,950 MtCO ₂ e	51% by 2025																	
Fifth carbon budget (2028 - 2032)	1,725 MtCO ₂ e	57% by 2030																	
Sixth carbon budget (2033 - 2037)	965 MtCO₂e	78% by 2035																	

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)</p>	<p>Decarbonising transport: a better, greener Britain On 14th July 2021, the Department for Transport (DfT) published Decarbonising transport: a better, greener Britain , a plan to decarbonise the entire transport system in the UK.</p> <p>Section 14.3 Legislative and policy framework to include new policy.</p>	<p>14.3 Legislative and policy framework</p> <p>Add under National policy heading.</p> <p>Decarbonising transport: a better, greener Britain</p> <p>The decarbonisation plan sets out the Government’s commitments and the actions needed to decarbonise the entire transport system in the UK. This plan considers GHG emissions produced from use of the UK’s transport system and details how the UK will enhance resilience to climate change risks across road, rail, ports, and aviation, harbour authorities and road and rail organisations.</p> <p>The decarbonisation plan outlines a number of commitments by the Government to remove all emissions from road transport to achieve net zero target by 2050.</p> <p>Commitments that will have a direct impact on road user emissions from the Scheme will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An end to the sale of new petrol and diesel cars and vans by 2030 • All new cars and vans to zero emissions at the tailpipe by 2035 • All new L-category vehicles to be fully zero emissions at the tailpipe by 2035 • The end of the sale of all non-zero emissions HGVs by 2040 <p>In addition, the Government is providing support for at least 4,000 zero emission buses and has committed to holding a consultation on a date to end the sale of new non-zero emissions motorbikes.</p> <p>This plan states that major infrastructure projects outlined in the “ambitious roads programme reflects – and will continue to reflect – that in any imaginable circumstances the clear majority of longer journeys, passenger, and freight, will be made by road; and that rural, remote areas will always depend more heavily on roads.” This supports the Road Investment Strategy (RIS2) which this project sits within.</p>

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)</p>	<p>Net zero highways: Our 2030 / 2040 / 2050 plan On 20th July 2021, National Highways published its Net zero highways: our 2030 / 2040 / 2050 plan. This responds to the government’s Decarbonising transport: a better, greener Britain.</p> <p>Section 14.3 Legislative and policy framework to include new policy.</p>	<p>14.3 Legislative and policy framework</p> <p>Add under National policy heading.</p> <p>Net zero highways: Our 2030 / 2040 / 2050 plan</p> <p>Net zero highways: our 2030 / 2040 / 2050 plan, responds to the Government’s Decarbonising Transport: A Better, Greener Britain. The plan sets out how England’s motorways and A-roads will be decarbonised, so they can continue to bring significant benefits to people and businesses in a net-zero economy.</p> <p>National Highways recognises that it has a key role in the development and maintenance of a strategic road network that will facilitate the journey to net zero emissions.</p> <p>The plan maps how the company will progress rapidly in this area, focusing on innovation and zero carbon solutions while using offset only as a very last resort. In summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By 2025: National Highways has made a Greening Government Commitment to reduce its own carbon emissions by 75% compared with the 2017/18 baseline. • By 2030: National Highways will be net-zero for its own carbon emissions. This includes switching to light-emitting diode (LED) lighting, changing its vehicle fleet to electric and planting up to 3 million additional trees on its own land next to roads. • By 2035: National Highways will bring together best practice and latest technologies to construct the first net-zero road scheme. • By 2040: All construction and maintenance activities carried out on the strategic road network will be net-zero. • By 2050: The vehicles on the strategic road network will be zero emission.

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)	Paragraph 14.4.21 to be updated for the sixth carbon budget (2033 - 2037).	<p>Paragraph 14.4.21 of ES Chapter 14 is updated to include the 6th carbon budget:</p> <p>An estimate of the likely magnitude of GHG emissions associated with the scheme has been assessed against the legislated national UK carbon budgets. The UK Government has currently passed into law carbon budgets up to 2032:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The third carbon budget period (2018 to 2022) allows the UK to emit 2,544 MtCO₂e. • The fourth carbon budget (2023 to 2027) allows the UK to emit 1,950 MtCO₂e. • The fifth carbon budget (2028 to 2032) allows the UK to emit 1,725 MtCO₂e. • The sixth carbon budget (2033 - 2037) allows the UK to emit 965 MtCO₂e.

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES																																						
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)	<p>Table 14-18 Assessment of scheme net emissions (up to 2032) against UK Government carbon budgets to reflect the sixth carbon budget is now included in the assessment.</p>	<p>Table 14-18 Assessment of scheme net emissions (up to 2032 2037) against UK Government carbon budgets</p>																																						
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="909 363 1111 603" rowspan="2">Project stage</th> <th data-bbox="1111 363 1337 603" rowspan="2">Estimated total (cumulative) GHG emissions over carbon budgets (tCO_{2e}) ('Do-Something' scenario)</th> <th data-bbox="1337 363 1581 603" rowspan="2">Net (cumulative) GHG emissions over carbon budgets (tCO_{2e}) ('Do-Something' - 'Do-Minimum')</th> <th colspan="4" data-bbox="1581 363 2051 475">Net (cumulative) scheme GHG emissions per relevant carbon budget (tCO_{2e})</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="1581 475 1693 603">Third (2018 - 2022)</th> <th data-bbox="1693 475 1827 603">Fourth (2023 - 2027)</th> <th data-bbox="1827 475 1939 603">Fifth (2028 - 2032)</th> <th data-bbox="1939 475 2051 603">Sixth⁴ (2033 - 2037)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="909 603 1111 847">Construction (over a period of 42 months, assumed to commence in early 2023-2026)</td> <td data-bbox="1111 603 1337 847">57,932</td> <td data-bbox="1337 603 1581 847">57,932</td> <td data-bbox="1581 603 1693 847">n/a</td> <td data-bbox="1693 603 1827 847">57,932</td> <td data-bbox="1827 603 1939 847">n/a</td> <td data-bbox="1939 603 2051 847">n/a</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="909 847 1111 1027">Operation (modelled from 2026 through to 2037)</td> <td data-bbox="1111 847 1337 1027">2,372,480</td> <td data-bbox="1337 847 1581 1027">152,642</td> <td data-bbox="1581 847 1693 1027">n/a</td> <td data-bbox="1693 847 1827 1027">22,234</td> <td data-bbox="1827 847 1939 1027">61,196</td> <td data-bbox="1939 847 2051 1027">69,211</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="909 1027 1111 1072">Total</td> <td data-bbox="1111 1027 1337 1072">2,430,411</td> <td data-bbox="1337 1027 1581 1072">210,573</td> <td data-bbox="1581 1027 1693 1072">n/a</td> <td data-bbox="1693 1027 1827 1072">80,166</td> <td data-bbox="1827 1027 1939 1072">61,196</td> <td data-bbox="1939 1027 2051 1072">69,211</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Project stage	Estimated total (cumulative) GHG emissions over carbon budgets (tCO _{2e}) ('Do-Something' scenario)	Net (cumulative) GHG emissions over carbon budgets (tCO _{2e}) ('Do-Something' - 'Do-Minimum')	Net (cumulative) scheme GHG emissions per relevant carbon budget (tCO _{2e})				Third (2018 - 2022)	Fourth (2023 - 2027)	Fifth (2028 - 2032)	Sixth ⁴ (2033 - 2037)	Construction (over a period of 42 months, assumed to commence in early 2023-2026)	57,932	57,932	n/a	57,932	n/a	n/a	Operation (modelled from 2026 through to 2037)	2,372,480	152,642	n/a	22,234	61,196	69,211	Total	2,430,411	210,573	n/a	80,166	61,196	69,211
Project stage	Estimated total (cumulative) GHG emissions over carbon budgets (tCO _{2e}) ('Do-Something' scenario)	Net (cumulative) GHG emissions over carbon budgets (tCO _{2e}) ('Do-Something' - 'Do-Minimum')	Net (cumulative) scheme GHG emissions per relevant carbon budget (tCO _{2e})																																					
			Third (2018 - 2022)	Fourth (2023 - 2027)	Fifth (2028 - 2032)	Sixth ⁴ (2033 - 2037)																																		
Construction (over a period of 42 months, assumed to commence in early 2023-2026)	57,932	57,932	n/a	57,932	n/a	n/a																																		
Operation (modelled from 2026 through to 2037)	2,372,480	152,642	n/a	22,234	61,196	69,211																																		
Total	2,430,411	210,573	n/a	80,166	61,196	69,211																																		
		<p>⁴ The sixth carbon budget has been committed to by government and is expected to become law by June 2021.</p>																																						

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)	Paragraph 14.10.12 to reflect the sixth carbon budget is now included in the assessment.	<p>Paragraph 14.10.12</p> <p>If the DCO is granted, construction is expected to start in early 2023 and the scheme is expected to be open to traffic in 2026. Therefore, the construction period for the scheme falls wholly within the fourth carbon budget. Operation of the scheme would commence in 2026 and is assessed against the fourth, and fifth and sixth carbon budgets, up to 2032 2037. Operational and maintenance emissions between 2033 and 2037 (the period for the sixth carbon budget) are provided in Table 14-18, however emissions after 2032 are not assessed as this new target has yet to be legislated. The UK Government has indicated it intends to enshrine the sixth carbon budget in UK law by the end of June 2021.</p>
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Climate (APP-045)	Paragraph 14.10.13 to reflect the sixth carbon budget is now included in the assessment.	<p>Paragraph 14.10.13</p> <p><u>Significant effects</u></p> <p>The construction and operation phases of the scheme which fall within legislated carbon budget periods are expected to have an insignificant impact on the ability of the UK Government to meet its carbon budgets. Construction of the scheme is estimated to contribute approximately 0.00380% of the fourth carbon budget. Operation of the scheme is estimated to contribute approximately 0.00114% of the fourth carbon budget, and 0.00355% of the fifth carbon budget and 0.00717% of the sixth carbon budget. It is considered that this magnitude of emissions from the scheme in isolation would not have a material impact on the ability of the UK Government to meet its carbon budgets, and therefore is not anticipated to give rise to a significant effect on climate, in line with the position set out within Section 5.18 of the NPSNN.</p>

3 Environmental Statement Errata

- 3.1.1 Table 3-1 Environmental statement chapter errata has been produced to detail any errors or omissions within the ES which have been identified through the Examination and provides corrections as appropriate.

Table 3-1 Environmental statement chapter errata

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES																																																																																															
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – The Project (APP-033)	Paragraphs 2.5.7 to 2.5.10 provides detail on the expected future baseline scenario, including expected changes to landscape, ecological and heritage assets, and climate change. However, there is no mention of the future baseline of flood risk, although this is assessed within ES Chapter 13 Road Drainage and the Water Environment (Document Reference 6.2, APP-044).	Paragraph 2.5.10 of ES Chapter 2 – The Project is amended to: “Based on the current land use, the future baseline in the absence of the scheme is unlikely to change significantly by 2041. Subtle changes are expected due to climate change, such as some movements of certain species and local population changes; however, the overall habitats and species composition in the study area (as defined in ES Chapter 4 Environmental Assessment Methodology (Document Reference 6.2)) are expected to be broadly similar to that of the existing baseline. Potential changes to road drainage and water environment receptors in the future would not be noticeable, as discussed in Chapter 13 Road Drainage and the Water Environment (Document Reference 6.2). Therefore, the future baseline would remain the same as set out in the existing baseline.”																																																																																															
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality (APP-APP-036)	Paragraph 5.10.30 erroneously reports that Receptor 17 has the largest increase in concentration as 0.6 ug/m ³ , instead of 0.9 ug/m ³ .	Paragraph 5.10.30 of ES Chapter 5 is amended to: “Receptors 17, 19 and 22 are located in the Cheltenham AQMA. Receptor 17 has the largest increase in concentration (0.6 0.9 ug/m ³) as a result of the scheme. The highest predicted concentration due to the scheme in the Cheltenham AQMA is at receptor 22 (31.6ug/m ³). There are no modelled exceedances in the Cheltenham AQMA.”																																																																																															
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality (APP-APP-036)	Paragraph 5.10.24 - omission of Receptor 71 from discussion of results.	Paragraph 5.10.24 of ES Chapter 5 is amended to: “In this discussion region eight nine receptors (see Table 5-6) have been selected to represent the scale of impacts associated with the scheme. Scheme-specific and local authority monitoring showed that roadside concentrations of annual mean NO ₂ in the Birdlip AQMA were above the AQO. A maximum monitored concentration of 61ug/m ³ was recorded at the roadside of the Air Balloon roundabout. It is not representative of receptor exposure in this location as properties are set back further from the road. There are no predicted exceedances of the NO ₂ annual mean objective in the baseline scenario at any of the receptor locations. There are two receptors at risk of exceedance at the Air Balloon Cottages (receptors 50 and 51). Receptor 71 shows a high rate of change (2.7 ug/m³). Although the annual mean NO₂ concentrations still remain below the relevant air quality threshold and therefore there is no likely significant effect in accordance with DMRB LA105. ”																																																																																															
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality (APP-APP-036)	Table 5-6 NO₂ concentrations at selected receptors – discussion region 1 Omission of Receptor 71 from Table 5-6.	Receptor 71 of ES Chapter 5 is added to Table 5-6. Table 5-6 NO₂ concentrations at selected receptors – discussion region 1 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Receptor</th> <th colspan="2">Grid Reference (m)</th> <th rowspan="2">Figure sheet reference</th> <th colspan="3">Annual mean NO₂ (ug/m³)</th> <th rowspan="2">Change (DS-DM) (ug/m³)</th> <th rowspan="2">AADT change</th> </tr> <tr> <th>X</th> <th>Y</th> <th>2016 Base</th> <th>2026 DM</th> <th>2026 DS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>46</td> <td>394545</td> <td>213635</td> <td>20</td> <td>25.7</td> <td>22.9</td> <td>12.6</td> <td>-10.4</td> <td>-16,448</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50</td> <td>393450</td> <td>216124</td> <td>9</td> <td>43.2</td> <td>39.9</td> <td>23.6</td> <td>-16.4</td> <td>8,286</td> </tr> <tr> <td>51</td> <td>393457</td> <td>216129</td> <td>9</td> <td>42.7</td> <td>39.1</td> <td>22.8</td> <td>-16.3</td> <td>8,286</td> </tr> <tr> <td>53</td> <td>393752</td> <td>215136</td> <td>9</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>2,235</td> </tr> <tr> <td>55</td> <td>393391</td> <td>215756</td> <td>9</td> <td>23.1</td> <td>19.5</td> <td>13.6</td> <td>-5.9</td> <td>-14,681</td> </tr> <tr> <td>71</td> <td>393869</td> <td>215412</td> <td>9</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>11.3</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>45,149</td> </tr> <tr> <td>73</td> <td>394208</td> <td>215344</td> <td>9</td> <td>10.1</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>10.2</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>43,054</td> </tr> <tr> <td>96</td> <td>392879</td> <td>215807</td> <td>9</td> <td>25.3</td> <td>22.8</td> <td>22.4</td> <td>-0.4</td> <td>8,286</td> </tr> <tr> <td>99</td> <td>392968</td> <td>215759</td> <td>9</td> <td>17.7</td> <td>15.3</td> <td>17.2</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>8,286</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Receptor	Grid Reference (m)		Figure sheet reference	Annual mean NO ₂ (ug/m ³)			Change (DS-DM) (ug/m ³)	AADT change	X	Y	2016 Base	2026 DM	2026 DS	46	394545	213635	20	25.7	22.9	12.6	-10.4	-16,448	50	393450	216124	9	43.2	39.9	23.6	-16.4	8,286	51	393457	216129	9	42.7	39.1	22.8	-16.3	8,286	53	393752	215136	9	10.7	8.6	9.5	0.8	2,235	55	393391	215756	9	23.1	19.5	13.6	-5.9	-14,681	71	393869	215412	9	10.7	8.6	11.3	2.7	45,149	73	394208	215344	9	10.1	8.2	10.2	2.0	43,054	96	392879	215807	9	25.3	22.8	22.4	-0.4	8,286	99	392968	215759	9	17.7	15.3	17.2	1.9	8,286
Receptor	Grid Reference (m)			Figure sheet reference	Annual mean NO ₂ (ug/m ³)			Change (DS-DM) (ug/m ³)	AADT change																																																																																								
	X	Y	2016 Base		2026 DM	2026 DS																																																																																											
46	394545	213635	20	25.7	22.9	12.6	-10.4	-16,448																																																																																									
50	393450	216124	9	43.2	39.9	23.6	-16.4	8,286																																																																																									
51	393457	216129	9	42.7	39.1	22.8	-16.3	8,286																																																																																									
53	393752	215136	9	10.7	8.6	9.5	0.8	2,235																																																																																									
55	393391	215756	9	23.1	19.5	13.6	-5.9	-14,681																																																																																									
71	393869	215412	9	10.7	8.6	11.3	2.7	45,149																																																																																									
73	394208	215344	9	10.1	8.2	10.2	2.0	43,054																																																																																									
96	392879	215807	9	25.3	22.8	22.4	-0.4	8,286																																																																																									
99	392968	215759	9	17.7	15.3	17.2	1.9	8,286																																																																																									
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 – Cultural Heritage (APP-037)	Paragraph 6.7.2 states an erroneous distance of 70m between the proposed scheme and Emma’s Grove. This should be 50m.	Paragraph 6.7.2 of ES Chapter 6 is amended to: “One designated resource lies within the DCO Boundary, but outside of the footprint of the scheme. This scheduled monument consists of a group of three round barrows, known collectively as Emma’s Grove (NHLE 1017079). This resource is located approximately 70m 50m to the south of the scheme at its closest point.”																																																																																															

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES																			
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 – Cultural Heritage (APP-037)</p>	<p>Table 6-6 Scheduled monuments (high value) Table 6-6 states an erroneous distance of 80m between the proposed scheme and Emma’s Grove. This should be 50m.</p>	<p>Row 10 of Table 6-6 of ES Chapter 6 is amended as follows.</p> <p>Table 6-6 Scheduled monuments (high value)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="878 275 2798 751"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="878 275 1041 348">NHLE No.</th> <th data-bbox="1041 275 1249 348">Name</th> <th data-bbox="1249 275 1427 348">Distance from scheme</th> <th data-bbox="1427 275 1902 348">Setting</th> <th data-bbox="1902 275 2377 348">Nature of impact</th> <th data-bbox="2377 275 2570 348">Magnitude of impact</th> <th data-bbox="2570 275 2798 348">Significance of effect</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="878 348 1041 751">1017079</td> <td data-bbox="1041 348 1249 751">Three bowl barrows, known as Emma’s Grove barrows</td> <td data-bbox="1249 348 1427 751">80m-50m</td> <td data-bbox="1427 348 1902 751">The barrows are located immediately to the east of the ‘Air Balloon’ roundabout and are hidden within a small copse. The wider setting of the barrows comprises an undulating rural landscape, featuring a mixture of historic and modern fields, boundaries, tracks and woodlands. The topography is such that long distance views are rare and this sense of hiddenness and discovery as an observer moves through the landscape, encountering other contemporary prehistoric monuments as they appear in view, is a key aspect of setting that adds to its significance. This ‘mind visibility’ is likely to have been important to the builders of the barrow, and therefore the significance of the barrow is sensitive to changes to the landform within this setting, regardless of whether these changes are visible.</td> <td data-bbox="1902 348 2377 751">Passing approximately 50m to the north of these barrows, the scheme would alter the immediate setting of the barrows, although this would be ameliorated slightly by the removal of the Existing A417 immediately to the west. The scheme would represent a modern alteration to the wider rural landscape within which these barrows sit. This wider rural setting, which contains a number of other prehistoric funerary monuments, provides context to the barrow, of which the concept of movement through the landscape is a key aspect. The scheme would create a physical barrier in the landscape that would be highly intrusive in the setting of the barrows and as a result adversely affect the significance of the resource. This would equate to a moderate adverse effect according to the criteria in Table 6-4.</td> <td data-bbox="2377 348 2570 751">Minor adverse</td> <td data-bbox="2570 348 2798 751">Moderate adverse (significant effect)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						NHLE No.	Name	Distance from scheme	Setting	Nature of impact	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect	1017079	Three bowl barrows, known as Emma’s Grove barrows	80m-50m	The barrows are located immediately to the east of the ‘Air Balloon’ roundabout and are hidden within a small copse. The wider setting of the barrows comprises an undulating rural landscape, featuring a mixture of historic and modern fields, boundaries, tracks and woodlands. The topography is such that long distance views are rare and this sense of hiddenness and discovery as an observer moves through the landscape, encountering other contemporary prehistoric monuments as they appear in view, is a key aspect of setting that adds to its significance. This ‘mind visibility’ is likely to have been important to the builders of the barrow, and therefore the significance of the barrow is sensitive to changes to the landform within this setting, regardless of whether these changes are visible.	Passing approximately 50m to the north of these barrows, the scheme would alter the immediate setting of the barrows, although this would be ameliorated slightly by the removal of the Existing A417 immediately to the west. The scheme would represent a modern alteration to the wider rural landscape within which these barrows sit. This wider rural setting, which contains a number of other prehistoric funerary monuments, provides context to the barrow, of which the concept of movement through the landscape is a key aspect. The scheme would create a physical barrier in the landscape that would be highly intrusive in the setting of the barrows and as a result adversely affect the significance of the resource. This would equate to a moderate adverse effect according to the criteria in Table 6-4.	Minor adverse	Moderate adverse (significant effect)
NHLE No.	Name	Distance from scheme	Setting	Nature of impact	Magnitude of impact	Significance of effect															
1017079	Three bowl barrows, known as Emma’s Grove barrows	80m-50m	The barrows are located immediately to the east of the ‘Air Balloon’ roundabout and are hidden within a small copse. The wider setting of the barrows comprises an undulating rural landscape, featuring a mixture of historic and modern fields, boundaries, tracks and woodlands. The topography is such that long distance views are rare and this sense of hiddenness and discovery as an observer moves through the landscape, encountering other contemporary prehistoric monuments as they appear in view, is a key aspect of setting that adds to its significance. This ‘mind visibility’ is likely to have been important to the builders of the barrow, and therefore the significance of the barrow is sensitive to changes to the landform within this setting, regardless of whether these changes are visible.	Passing approximately 50m to the north of these barrows, the scheme would alter the immediate setting of the barrows, although this would be ameliorated slightly by the removal of the Existing A417 immediately to the west. The scheme would represent a modern alteration to the wider rural landscape within which these barrows sit. This wider rural setting, which contains a number of other prehistoric funerary monuments, provides context to the barrow, of which the concept of movement through the landscape is a key aspect. The scheme would create a physical barrier in the landscape that would be highly intrusive in the setting of the barrows and as a result adversely affect the significance of the resource. This would equate to a moderate adverse effect according to the criteria in Table 6-4.	Minor adverse	Moderate adverse (significant effect)															
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Biodiversity (APP-039)</p>	<p>Paragraph 8.9.32 requires revision as it understates the total amount of woodland created by the scheme.</p>	<p>The text refers to a specific area only (the main area of woodland loss).</p> <p>Paragraph 8.9.32 of ES Chapter 8 is amended to:</p> <p>“A total of Approximately 7.5ha of new broadleaved woodland species of native variety characteristic of existing woodland would be planted along the southern verge of the new A417 from Brockworth to the Crickley Hill area to replace woodland lost during construction and to ensure continuity of woodland habitat along this section of the scheme for the benefit of bat species. Mixed broadleaved woodland and a buffer of scrub species of approximately 5ha in area would also be planted round the borders of a field to the south of Ullen Wood. This would provide a woodland edge buffer for the ancient woodland. Similarly, additional trees and scrub would be planted on the eastern and northern edge of Emma’s Grove to create a tiered buffer of vegetation including hazel scrub and small trees.”</p>																			
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Biodiversity (APP-039)</p>	<p>Table 8-6 Summary of field survey methods used for each type of biodiversity resource relevant to the scheme Table 8-6 should clarify what time of year the Extended Phase 1 Habitat survey was undertaken.</p>	<p>Row 1 of Table 8-6 is amended as follows.</p> <p>Table 8-6 Summary of field survey methods used for each type of biodiversity resource relevant to the scheme</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="878 1108 2116 1314"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="878 1108 1041 1182">Biodiversity survey</th> <th data-bbox="1041 1108 1427 1182">Field survey methods</th> <th data-bbox="1427 1108 1887 1182">Dates of survey</th> <th data-bbox="1887 1108 2116 1182">Reference/ Appendix</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="878 1182 1041 1314">Extended Phase 1 habitat survey</td> <td data-bbox="1041 1182 1427 1314">Habitats within the study area were mapped, and potential for protected and notable species established following the standard JNCC methodology²³.</td> <td data-bbox="1427 1182 1887 1314">May and June 2017, and localised updates in various summer months in 2019, 2020 and 2021.</td> <td data-bbox="1887 1182 2116 1314">ES Appendix 8.1 (Document Reference 6.4), and the 2017 Preliminary Ecological Appraisal report²⁴.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Biodiversity survey	Field survey methods	Dates of survey	Reference/ Appendix	Extended Phase 1 habitat survey	Habitats within the study area were mapped, and potential for protected and notable species established following the standard JNCC methodology ²³ .	May and June 2017, and localised updates in various summer months in 2019, 2020 and 2021.	ES Appendix 8.1 (Document Reference 6.4), and the 2017 Preliminary Ecological Appraisal report ²⁴ .						
Biodiversity survey	Field survey methods	Dates of survey	Reference/ Appendix																		
Extended Phase 1 habitat survey	Habitats within the study area were mapped, and potential for protected and notable species established following the standard JNCC methodology ²³ .	May and June 2017, and localised updates in various summer months in 2019, 2020 and 2021.	ES Appendix 8.1 (Document Reference 6.4), and the 2017 Preliminary Ecological Appraisal report ²⁴ .																		
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 – Geology and Soils (APP-040)</p>	<p>Paragraphs 9.10.25 and 9.10.33 contain an error where the significance of effect on surface water is reported as ‘neutral and permanent slight adverse’, when it should have been reported as ‘permanent slight adverse’.</p>	<p>Paragraph 9.10.25 of ES Chapter 8 is amended to:</p> <p>“Although the Tier 2: GQRA have identified localised areas where elevated contamination levels may pose a risk to the controlled water receptors during construction, on application of essential mitigation no significant effects on controlled waters during construction have been identified. Therefore, overall the effect of the scheme on risks from contamination on groundwater during construction is assessed as neutral and slight adverse and not significant. For surface water this is assessed as neutral and permanent slight adverse and not significant.”</p>																			

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES																																																																																
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 – Geology and Soils (APP-040)</p>	<p>Table 9-9 Summary of effects during construction</p> <p>Table 9-9 contains an error where the receptor sensitivity of the Tributary of Norman’s Brook was reported as ‘medium’, when it should have been reported as ‘high’.</p> <p>Table 9-9 contains an error where the residual significance of effect was reported as ‘neutral’ for the Tributary of Horsbere Brook, Tributary of Norman’s Brook and the Tributary of River Churn, when it should have been reported as ‘slight adverse’.</p>	<p>Table 9-9 of ES Chapter 9 is amended as follows.</p> <p>Table 9-9 Summary of effects during construction</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="875 275 2801 1121"> <thead> <tr> <th>Potential impact</th> <th>Receptor</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Receptor sensitivity</th> <th>Design and mitigation measures</th> <th>Magnitude of impact</th> <th>Residual significance of effect</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Contaminated soil, leachate/ groundwater/ direct discharge and pollution of aquifers</td> <td rowspan="3">Groundwater</td> <td>Inferior Oolite and Great Oolite - Principal Aquifers</td> <td>High</td> <td rowspan="6">Tier 1: Preliminary Risk Assessment and Tier 2: GQRA, informed by available information on potential sources including desk study, and ground investigations (e.g. evidence of contamination and/or soil and groundwater chemical testing) have been completed. Areas of concern have been identified, subject to additional investigations and site specific assessments, remediation measures may be required. This would be presented in a remediation strategy.</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Superficial deposits - Secondary A aquifer</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lias Group - Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Vertical and lateral migration of leachate/ groundwater contamination and/or direct contact with soil contamination</td> <td rowspan="4">Surface water</td> <td>Tributary of Horsbere Brook</td> <td>Medium</td> <td rowspan="4">The impact would be controlled through measures set out in the EMP (ES Appendix 2.1 EMP (Document Reference 6.4)) including appropriate hazardous materials storage and handling, pollution response and environmental management, materials management and dealing with known and unexpected contamination. Pollution control systems would be targeting areas of concern identified through the risk assessments.</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tributary of Norman’s Brook</td> <td>Medium High</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>River Frome and its tributaries</td> <td>High</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tributary of River Churn</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contaminated soil, leachate/ groundwater/ direct discharge and impact on surface watercourses</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td rowspan="3">The drainage design would prevent/reduce the risk of discharging pollutants into the aquifers via drainage pathways and control surface water runoff at its source. Further details on the drainage design are reported in Appendix 13.10 Drainage report (Document Reference 6.4).</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pollution migration through new drainage installed as part of slope stabilisation measures</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pollution migration along piles/ underground structures</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Materials reused within the scheme in accordance with EMP and associated MMP (ES Appendix 2.1 Environmental Management Plan (Document Reference 6.4)) and therefore only materials suitable for end use, i.e. those that would not pose an unacceptable risk to controlled waters, would be reused.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FWRA to be completed for individual structures where deep foundations or ground improvement works are proposed, to be confirmed subject to the design at detailed design stage.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Potential impact	Receptor	Description	Receptor sensitivity	Design and mitigation measures	Magnitude of impact	Residual significance of effect	Contaminated soil, leachate/ groundwater/ direct discharge and pollution of aquifers	Groundwater	Inferior Oolite and Great Oolite - Principal Aquifers	High	Tier 1: Preliminary Risk Assessment and Tier 2: GQRA, informed by available information on potential sources including desk study, and ground investigations (e.g. evidence of contamination and/or soil and groundwater chemical testing) have been completed. Areas of concern have been identified, subject to additional investigations and site specific assessments, remediation measures may be required. This would be presented in a remediation strategy.	Negligible	Slight adverse	Superficial deposits - Secondary A aquifer	Medium	Negligible	Neutral	Lias Group - Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer	Low	Negligible	Neutral	Vertical and lateral migration of leachate/ groundwater contamination and/or direct contact with soil contamination	Surface water	Tributary of Horsbere Brook	Medium	The impact would be controlled through measures set out in the EMP (ES Appendix 2.1 EMP (Document Reference 6.4)) including appropriate hazardous materials storage and handling, pollution response and environmental management, materials management and dealing with known and unexpected contamination. Pollution control systems would be targeting areas of concern identified through the risk assessments.	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse	Tributary of Norman’s Brook	Medium High	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse	River Frome and its tributaries	High	Negligible	Slight adverse	Tributary of River Churn	Medium	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse	Contaminated soil, leachate/ groundwater/ direct discharge and impact on surface watercourses				The drainage design would prevent/reduce the risk of discharging pollutants into the aquifers via drainage pathways and control surface water runoff at its source. Further details on the drainage design are reported in Appendix 13.10 Drainage report (Document Reference 6.4).			Pollution migration through new drainage installed as part of slope stabilisation measures						Pollution migration along piles/ underground structures										Materials reused within the scheme in accordance with EMP and associated MMP (ES Appendix 2.1 Environmental Management Plan (Document Reference 6.4)) and therefore only materials suitable for end use, i.e. those that would not pose an unacceptable risk to controlled waters, would be reused.							FWRA to be completed for individual structures where deep foundations or ground improvement works are proposed, to be confirmed subject to the design at detailed design stage.		
Potential impact	Receptor	Description	Receptor sensitivity	Design and mitigation measures	Magnitude of impact	Residual significance of effect																																																																												
Contaminated soil, leachate/ groundwater/ direct discharge and pollution of aquifers	Groundwater	Inferior Oolite and Great Oolite - Principal Aquifers	High	Tier 1: Preliminary Risk Assessment and Tier 2: GQRA, informed by available information on potential sources including desk study, and ground investigations (e.g. evidence of contamination and/or soil and groundwater chemical testing) have been completed. Areas of concern have been identified, subject to additional investigations and site specific assessments, remediation measures may be required. This would be presented in a remediation strategy.	Negligible	Slight adverse																																																																												
		Superficial deposits - Secondary A aquifer	Medium		Negligible	Neutral																																																																												
		Lias Group - Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer	Low		Negligible	Neutral																																																																												
Vertical and lateral migration of leachate/ groundwater contamination and/or direct contact with soil contamination	Surface water	Tributary of Horsbere Brook	Medium		The impact would be controlled through measures set out in the EMP (ES Appendix 2.1 EMP (Document Reference 6.4)) including appropriate hazardous materials storage and handling, pollution response and environmental management, materials management and dealing with known and unexpected contamination. Pollution control systems would be targeting areas of concern identified through the risk assessments.	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse																																																																											
		Tributary of Norman’s Brook	Medium High			Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse																																																																											
		River Frome and its tributaries	High			Negligible	Slight adverse																																																																											
		Tributary of River Churn	Medium	Negligible		Neutral Slight adverse																																																																												
Contaminated soil, leachate/ groundwater/ direct discharge and impact on surface watercourses				The drainage design would prevent/reduce the risk of discharging pollutants into the aquifers via drainage pathways and control surface water runoff at its source. Further details on the drainage design are reported in Appendix 13.10 Drainage report (Document Reference 6.4).																																																																														
Pollution migration through new drainage installed as part of slope stabilisation measures																																																																																		
Pollution migration along piles/ underground structures																																																																																		
				Materials reused within the scheme in accordance with EMP and associated MMP (ES Appendix 2.1 Environmental Management Plan (Document Reference 6.4)) and therefore only materials suitable for end use, i.e. those that would not pose an unacceptable risk to controlled waters, would be reused.																																																																														
				FWRA to be completed for individual structures where deep foundations or ground improvement works are proposed, to be confirmed subject to the design at detailed design stage.																																																																														
<p>Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 – Geology and Soils (APP-040)</p>	<p>Table 9-10 Summary of effects during operation</p> <p>Table 9-10 erroneously omitted “Superficial deposits – Secondary A aquifer” and “Lias Group – Secondary (undifferentiated aquifer)” as groundwater receptors during the operational phase of the scheme.</p> <p>Table 9-10 contains an error where the receptor sensitivity of the Tributary of Norman’s Brook was reported as ‘medium’, when it should have been reported as ‘high’.</p> <p>Table 9-10 contains an error where the residual significance of effect was reported as ‘neutral’ for the Tributary of Horsbere Brook, Tributary of Norman’s Brook and the Tributary of River Churn, when it should have been reported as ‘slight adverse’.</p>	<p>Table 9-10 of ES Chapter 9 is amended as follows.</p> <p>Table 9-10 Summary of effects during operation</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="875 1247 2748 1833"> <thead> <tr> <th>Potential impact</th> <th>Receptor</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Receptor sensitivity</th> <th>Design and Mitigation measures</th> <th>Magnitude of impact</th> <th>Residual significance of effect</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Exposure to soil contamination</td> <td rowspan="2">On-site users</td> <td>Maintenance workers</td> <td>Medium</td> <td rowspan="4">N/A</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight beneficial</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Highway users</td> <td>Low</td> <td>No change</td> <td>Neutral</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Off-site users</td> <td>Residents of nearby properties</td> <td>Very High</td> <td>No change</td> <td>Neutral</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WCH (Public open space users)</td> <td>High</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight beneficial</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="7">Leaching and migration of contaminants due to rainwater infiltration from soils used in construction to groundwater and lateral migration to surface water in areas of landscaping Surface run-off to surface water in areas of landscaping from soils used in construction</td> <td rowspan="3">Groundwater</td> <td>Inferior Oolite and Great Oolite – Principal Aquifer</td> <td>High</td> <td rowspan="7">N/A</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Superficial deposits – Secondary A aquifer</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lias Group – Secondary (undifferentiated aquifer)</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Surface water</td> <td>Tributary of Horsbere Brook</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tributary of Norman’s Brook</td> <td>Medium High</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>River Frome and its tributaries</td> <td>High</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Slight adverse</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tributary of River Churn</td> <td>Medium</td> <td>Negligible</td> <td>Neutral Slight adverse</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>							Potential impact	Receptor	Description	Receptor sensitivity	Design and Mitigation measures	Magnitude of impact	Residual significance of effect	Exposure to soil contamination	On-site users	Maintenance workers	Medium	N/A	Negligible	Slight beneficial	Highway users	Low	No change	Neutral	Off-site users	Residents of nearby properties	Very High	No change	Neutral	WCH (Public open space users)	High	Negligible	Slight beneficial	Leaching and migration of contaminants due to rainwater infiltration from soils used in construction to groundwater and lateral migration to surface water in areas of landscaping Surface run-off to surface water in areas of landscaping from soils used in construction	Groundwater	Inferior Oolite and Great Oolite – Principal Aquifer	High	N/A	Negligible	Slight adverse	Superficial deposits – Secondary A aquifer	Medium	Negligible	Slight adverse	Lias Group – Secondary (undifferentiated aquifer)	Low	Negligible	Neutral	Surface water	Tributary of Horsbere Brook	Medium	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse	Tributary of Norman’s Brook	Medium High	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse	River Frome and its tributaries	High	Negligible	Slight adverse	Tributary of River Churn	Medium	Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse															
Potential impact	Receptor	Description	Receptor sensitivity	Design and Mitigation measures	Magnitude of impact	Residual significance of effect																																																																												
Exposure to soil contamination	On-site users	Maintenance workers	Medium	N/A	Negligible	Slight beneficial																																																																												
		Highway users	Low		No change	Neutral																																																																												
	Off-site users	Residents of nearby properties	Very High		No change	Neutral																																																																												
		WCH (Public open space users)	High		Negligible	Slight beneficial																																																																												
Leaching and migration of contaminants due to rainwater infiltration from soils used in construction to groundwater and lateral migration to surface water in areas of landscaping Surface run-off to surface water in areas of landscaping from soils used in construction	Groundwater	Inferior Oolite and Great Oolite – Principal Aquifer	High	N/A	Negligible	Slight adverse																																																																												
		Superficial deposits – Secondary A aquifer	Medium		Negligible	Slight adverse																																																																												
		Lias Group – Secondary (undifferentiated aquifer)	Low		Negligible	Neutral																																																																												
	Surface water	Tributary of Horsbere Brook	Medium		Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse																																																																												
		Tributary of Norman’s Brook	Medium High		Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse																																																																												
		River Frome and its tributaries	High		Negligible	Slight adverse																																																																												
		Tributary of River Churn	Medium		Negligible	Neutral Slight adverse																																																																												

Document reference	Reason for amendment to the ES	Amendment to the ES
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Road Drainage and the Water Environment (APP-044)	Paragraphs 13.5.7 omitted to include the nine months of surface water quality and flow data, between August 2020 and April 2021.	Paragraphs 13.5.7 of ES Chapter 13 is amended to: “The findings presented in this chapter are based upon the data available at the time of writing including data collected to end of October 2020 for groundwater and December 2020 for surface water and springs and nine months of surface water quality and flow data, between August 2020 and April 2021. Any data collected following these dates would be used to refine the conceptual models to support the detailed design phase and would form part of the ongoing dialogue with the EA and others.”
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Road Drainage and the Water Environment (APP-044)	Paragraph 13.5.13 requires revision to provide clarity that the determination of groundwater conditions across the scheme is with exception of two areas, Ch.0+000 to CH. 0+500 and CH.2+100 to 2+600.	Paragraph 13.5.13 of ES Chapter 13 is amended to: “The intrusive ground investigations field work to determine the site-specific ground conditions across the majority of the scheme have now been completed and groundwater monitoring is currently ongoing, due for completion by end of June 2021. These are described in section 13.7 Baseline conditions. This is with an exception of scheme section approximately Groundwater monitoring was not completed in two sections of the scheme alignment: Ch.0+000 to CH. 0+500 and CH.2+100 to 2+600. Ch. 0+000 to Ch. 0+500 was not monitored as the scheme does not require significant excavations in this section (see para 13.5.14 for further details). Ch. 2+100 to 2+600 was not monitored due to, where no land access was granted at the time of the field works. Ground investigations commenced in February 2021 and were completed in March 2021. Subsequent groundwater monitoring will continue until March 2022. Information obtained from these investigations will be considered at detailed design. Based on the hydrogeological conceptual model derived for the scheme informed by groundwater monitoring data obtained from scheme sections located on either end of the non-investigated section, the scheme would not intercept groundwater as the groundwater table is at least 30m below the scheme. Therefore, the available information on groundwater levels is considered sufficient to inform the assessments.”
Volume 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Road Drainage and the Water Environment (APP-044)	Paragraph 13.10.14 requires clarity as to the reason behind reporting the sensitivity of Tributary of Norman’s Brook as ‘high’, instead of ‘medium’ value, even though it is not designated as a WFD catchment.	Paragraph 13.10.14 of ES Chapter 13 is amended to: “ <i>With the sensitivity of the receptor being high, and magnitude of impacts of negligible, the effect would be slight adverse and not significant. A precautionary approach has been taken, assigning the watercourse a value of high based on the potential for species protected under legislation.</i> ”